Mr. Chairman/Madam Chair, members of the committee, for the record my name is Robin Kingston with Emdeon and I would also like to testify in support of Bill 5281.

- The Bill before you contemplates random sample audits of Billing and suspension of licensure for perpetrators of fraud and purposeful aberrant billing.
 - As mentioned in my previous testimony, auditing of billing and supporting medical
 documentation is a key and necessary tool to help combat fraud, waste and abuse with an
 savings as high as 3-7% of the total medical spend.
 - Based on CT's total Medical Assistance spend of \$6B, a 15% sample audit and recovery program could yield savings well into double-digit millions.
- As a nationwide leader in health care fraud, waste & abuse detection & prevention with over 25 years of experience in the field, Emdeon recommends the state act on this Bill now, but also consider going further.
- In an era of
 - budget constraints and
 - pending increases projected in Medicaid enrollment under the Affordable Care Act,
 - it has never been more important to utilize multiple, proven safety nets to increase ability to detect and prevent fraud, waste & abuse.
- Under Section 6028 of the Affordable Care Act, the State will have to comply with specific fraud, waste and detection measures by 2014. We would recommend the state consider:
 - Enacting these provisions prior to 2014 in order to achieve the savings sooner, and
 - Verify that the state regulations allow for the use of the savings generated from the tools to cover the cost of the programs – to eliminate the need for separate budget line-item allocations.
- These provisions would include:
 - 1. Shifts from a pay-and-chase model to a preventive, pre-payment model of detection.
 - In-stream PV validation on claim-by-claim, basis to ensure providers are not sanctioned or have license suspensions in any state & are not deceased – this complements the existing provisions of this Bill nicely
 - 3. Predictive Modeling: use of data mining techniques that employs the power of the data itself to elevate aberrance of schemes & scams we do not know to look for today vs. today's environment of rules-based systems where we know to look for only what we know to look for...and those perpetrating fraud are constantly evolving and developing new means and methods to fraud.
- Like the Corrections Bill, it is important to note that these measures would not:
 - impact or delay the delivery of care to patients in any way, as all tools are utilized to assess claim data, which is submitted for payment as it is today...after services are rendered.
 - Or, delay payment of legitimate reimbursements to providers, as all electronic validations and scoring of claims happens within hours...24 hours at most...of the receipt of claims
- National statistics for FWA savings range from ½ 3% of total spend for a comprehensive, proactive program
 - That would mean additional potential millions in savings

- These measure could also help to pre-empt other, more drastic measures elsewhere to deal with budget constraints:
 - Reduction of benefits to beneficiaries
 - Reduction of provider reimbursement schedules...which negatively impact *all* providers, the vast majority of which are acting in good faith and providing quality care to those most in need.
- The risk in not acting could be significant, as FWA act as a balloon stories are abundant of fraud taking advantage of public systems as private insurers have tightened controls & we expect to see further shifting among states and the Medicare system as tools are deployed in some areas and not in others.
- While there is no single magic bullet to eliminate FWA, adoption of the measures in this Bill will keep MD on the leading edge of this fight nationwide.

I would be happy to answer any questions you may have: